



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/717,818	11/21/2000	Joseph Mulavelil George	AUS9-2000-0552-US1	2114
35525	7590	03/12/2009		
IBM CORP (YA) C/O YEE & ASSOCIATES PC P.O. BOX 802333 DALLAS, TX 75380			EXAMINER ROBINSON, GRETA LEE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2169	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/12/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptonotifs@yeciiplaw.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/717,818

Applicant(s)

GEORGE ET AL.

Examiner

Greta L. Robinson

Art Unit

2169

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Catherine K. Kinslow registration no. 51886. (3) _____.

(2) Greta L. Robinson. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 09 March 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 19 and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ishihara et al. 6,636,876 and Ofek et al. 5,680,640.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The general nature of the telephone interview was to clarify the status of claims 19 and 20. Applicant stated new claims 19 and 20 were not listed on form PTO-326. The examiner stated claims 19 and 20 would be rejected based on dependency regarding rejection cited under 35 USC 101, no rejection cited under prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Greta L. Robinson/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2169

March 09, 2009